



Evaluation of Large-Scale Carbon Dioxide Storage Potential in the Basal Saline System in the Alberta and Williston Basins in North America

Guoxiang Liu, Wesley Peck, Jason Braunberger, Robert Klenner, Charles Gorecki, Edward Steadman, and John Harju

Energy & Environmental Research Center
University of North Dakota
15 North 23rd Street, Stop 9018
Grand Forks, North Dakota 58202-9018

Abstract

As one of the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE's) Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnerships, the Plains CO₂ Reduction (PCOR) Partnership has performed a case study on the feasibility of large-scale underground carbon dioxide (CO₂) storage in the basal saline system of central North America. The area of investigation encompasses approximately 1,500,000 km² of the Alberta and Williston Basins located in the provinces of Alberta, Manitoba, and Saskatchewan in Canada and the states of Montana, North Dakota, and South Dakota in the United States. The thickness of the system is up to 300 m, with permeability ranging from 0.0001 to 1250 mD and porosity ranging from 0.01% to 25%. The calculated volumetric CO₂ storage resource potential in this saline system is 480 billion metric tonnes. However, this estimate does not consider the time or number of wells required to inject this mass of CO₂, and the realistic injectivity of any given well is highly dependent on the site-specific reservoir properties and pressure buildup during the CO₂ injection. In order to estimate realistic capacity ranges for the basal saline system, injection simulations were carried out to better understand the site-specific parameters such as reservoir properties, well spacing and number, injectivity, and local and regional pressure buildup.

In the study area, the large-scale CO₂ emissions were aggregated as 16 and 25 large-scale CO₂ sources for Scenarios 1 and 2, respectively. Two scenarios, comprising a total of 16 cases, were designed to address the dynamic CO₂ storage capacity and pressure transient. To increase the injectivity and maximize the efficiency of storage resource, various strategies were tested including injection well location and spacing, injection rate optimization, and water extraction during CO₂ injection. Several geologic variations were also tested to determine the effect of different geologic uncertainties on the dynamic storage capacity, including modifications to the ratio of vertical permeability and horizontal permeability (K_v/K_h), boundary condition, and relative permeability. Dynamic simulations were set to initiate in 2014 and ended in the year 2064 for the 50-year injection period. Another 36-year postinjection period followed to check the pressure transient for the whole domain.

The results indicate that the total injected CO₂ is 82.2 Mt for the base case, which includes a single injector at each of the 16 CO₂ source locations in Scenario 1. To improve injectivity, the number of

injection wells was increased to 210. The added wells increased the total injected CO₂ by 37% to 112.3 Mt. In another case, a total of 20 water extractors were placed in conjunction with the injectors at the largest CO₂ emission source location, which resulted in the total CO₂ injected increasing to 183.1 Mt, 63% higher than the previous case. The cases exploring various Kv/Kh ratios showed the resulting effect on the dynamic storage capacity to be very small. However, the case involving changes to the relative permeability curves showed a significant increase in the storage capacity over the base case. A time-stepped injection case involving bringing the 16 injection locations online over a series of years and at an increasing annual injection rate over the injection period showed a better conformance than the case where all the sources begin injecting their full output simultaneously. This is because the higher injection rate in the beginning results in faster reservoir pressure buildup and, ultimately, constrains the injections. In Scenario 2, where emissions were distributed to 25 geologically favorable locations, the total injected CO₂ is increased to 1949 Mt. Converting all of the vertical injectors to horizontal wells, the mass of CO₂ increases 39.2% to 2040 Mt. By adding a total of 163 water extractors around all injectors, the total injected CO₂ is 2644 Mt, which is 50.8% of the total expected CO₂ emission. With the high range value of the rock compressibility for the reservoir, the amount of total injected CO₂ was enhanced to 3112 Mt, which is about 60% of the total emission source. Overall, all of the optimal operations addressed in this study show moderate to significant effects on CO₂ injection. Regarding the reservoir pressure monitoring, when compared with the initial pressure, the maximum pressure after the 50-year injection period was increased about 800 psi, which is lower than the limitation of the reservoir pressure. After the 36-year postinjection period, the pressure difference with respect to initial pressure was decreased to ~400 psi.

The successful exploration of the case study for CO₂ storage in the basal saline system of central North America provides a basic guideline to address evaluations of large-scale CO₂ storage demonstration projects. Specifically, the efforts help to answer questions regarding reservoir pressure buildup over the injection and postinjection periods and CO₂ movement tracking. Finally, this study underscores the potential difference in CO₂ storage potential between estimates made with volumetric approaches and those made with dynamic methodologies.

Acknowledgements

This material is based on work supported by the DOE National Energy Technology Laboratory under Award No. DE-FC26-05NT42592.