

# FORT NELSON TEST SITE – SIMULATION REPORT

Plains CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction (PCOR) Partnership Phase III Task 9 – Deliverable D67 Update 1

Originally Submitted: August 2011

Prepared for:

Andrea T. McNemar

National Energy Technology Laboratory U.S. Department of Energy 3610 Collins Ferry Road PO Box 880 Morgantown, WV 26507-0880

DOE Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FC26-05NT42592

*Prepared by:* 

James A. Sorensen Katherine K. Anagnost Charles D. Gorecki Edward N. Steadman

Energy & Environmental Research Center University of North Dakota 15 North 23rd Street, Stop 9018 Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018

> July 2012 Approved

#### EERC DISCLAIMER

LEGAL NOTICE: This research report was prepared by the Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC), an agency of the University of North Dakota, as an account of work sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy. Because of the research nature of the work performed, neither the EERC nor any of its employees makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement or recommendation by the EERC.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This material is based upon work supported by the Department of Energy National Energy Technology Laboratory under Award Number DE-FC26-05NT42592.

## **DOE DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government, nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

#### NDIC DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared by the EERC pursuant to an agreement partially funded by the Industrial Commission of North Dakota, and neither the EERC nor any of its subcontractors nor the North Dakota Industrial Commission nor any person acting on behalf of either:

- (A) Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- (B) Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the North Dakota Industrial Commission. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the North Dakota Industrial Commission.

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

BACKGROUND	]
NEXT UPDATE: JULY 2013	2



#### FORT NELSON TEST SITE – SIMULATION REPORT (UPDATE 1)

#### **BACKGROUND**

Spectra Energy Transmission (SET) is working with the Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC)-led Plains CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction (PCOR) Partnership to determine the feasibility of long-term storage of sour carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) in a saline formation near Fort Nelson, British Columbia, Canada. The EERC prepared a simulation report in August 2011 detailing the results of a simulation-based feasibility study conducted for the Fort Nelson site. The investigation scenarios included injection of 50 and 100 million tonnes of sour CO<sub>2</sub> over periods of 25 and 50 years, respectively. These injection scenarios were followed by 75 and 50 years of postinjection monitoring of the CO<sub>2</sub> plume for a total of 100 years. The potential for CO<sub>2</sub> plume migration to the adjacent gas pools (Clarke Lake Slave Points A and B) was also evaluated. Key conclusions were reached and recommendations made.

The initial Fort Nelson Test Site – Simulation Report was provided to SET via e-mail on September 2, 2011, and hand-delivered on a flash drive on September 13, 2011, during the PCOR Partnership Annual Meeting in Denver, Colorado. SET has been busy compiling data in order to make a successful business case for a commercial-scale CO<sub>2</sub> injection at Fort Nelson. Information provided in the 2011 simulation report was used to help document SET's injection initiative and move it through the SET approval and funding process.

To further confirm the evaluations presented in the initial study, the EERC suggested the following recommendations in August 2011 to be included in any future modeling and simulation studies:

- Collection of more geologic information in the C-47-E area by means of drilling, coring, and logging of a new well, and acquisition of a new 3-D seismic survey over the predicted CO<sub>2</sub> plume footprint.
- Integration of various physical phenomena such as geochemical reactions, geomechanical behaviors, and thermal effects into the dynamic model to comprehensively understand the sink—seal system for more reliable predictions.

SET's review of the 2011 simulation report continues. SET and the EERC are constructing an updated geologic model; however, no new results are ready at this time, and no new numerical simulations have been performed since the last report.

## **NEXT UPDATE: JULY 2013**

The next update to the simulation report is scheduled for July 31, 2013. SET management personnel are currently reviewing plans for winter 2012–2013 activities. The current plan will likely include 1) gathering more geologic information in the injection region and 2) identifying various physical phenomena such as geochemical reactions, geomechanical behaviors, and geothermal effects that can be integrated into the dynamic model. The 2013 report will include any changes to the 2011 report, along with an updated geologic model with accompanying numerical simulations that will include multiphase flow, geochemical reactions, and geomechanical modeling.