

## Plains CO, Reduction (PCOR) Partnership

Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC)



# THE ROLE OF HYDROGEN SULFIDE (H<sub>2</sub>S) IN REPURPOSING CARBON STEEL PIPELINES FOR CARBON DIOXIDE (CO<sub>2</sub>) TRANSMISSION

White Paper

Prepared for:

Joshua Hull

National Energy Technology Laboratory U.S. Department of Energy 3610 Collins Ferry Road Morgantown, WV 26505

DOE Cooperative Agreement No. DE-FE0031838

*Prepared by:* 

Michael P. Warmack Thomas E. Doll Catherine R. Stevens Kevin C. Connors

Bruce Craig Adam Rowe

Energy & Environmental Research Center University of North Dakota 15 North 23rd Street, Stop 9018 Grand Forks, ND 58202-9018 Stress Engineering Services, Inc. 13800 Westfair East Drive Houston, TX 77041

#### EERC DISCLAIMER

LEGAL NOTICE This research report was prepared by the Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC) of the University of North Dakota as an account of work sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) and the North Dakota Industrial Commission (NDIC) (SPONSORS). Because of the research nature of the work performed, neither the EERC, nor any of its employees makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement or recommendation by the EERC. SPONSORS understand and accept that this research report and any associated deliverables are intended for a specific project. Any modifications of the report or of any associated deliverables or use or reuse other than for the intended project is at the sole risk of the SPONSORS and without liability or legal exposure to the EERC or to its directors, officers, or employees.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This material is based upon work supported by DOE's National Energy Technology Laboratory under Award No. DE-FE0031838 and NDIC under Contract Nos. FX-XCI-226 and G-050-96.

#### **DOE DISCLAIMER**

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government, nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

#### NDIC DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared by the EERC pursuant to an agreement partially funded by the Industrial Commission of North Dakota, and neither the EERC nor any of its subcontractors nor the North Dakota Industrial Commission nor any person acting on behalf of either:

(A) Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report or

- that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- (B) Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the North Dakota Industrial Commission. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the North Dakota Industrial Commission.

#### STRESS ENGINEERING SERVICES DISCLAIMER

This report is prepared for the sole benefit of the Client, and the scope is limited to matters expressly covered within the text. In preparing this report, Stress Engineering Services, Inc. (SES) has relied on information provided by the Client and, if requested by the Client, third parties. SES may not have made an independent investigation as to the accuracy or completeness of such information unless specifically requested by the Client or otherwise required. Any inaccuracy, omission, or change in the information or circumstances on which this report is based may affect the recommendations, findings, and conclusions expressed in this report. SES has prepared this report in accordance with the standard of care appropriate for competent professionals in the relevant discipline and the generally applicable industry standards. However, SES is not able to direct or control operation or maintenance of the Client's equipment or processes.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

Stress Engineering Services would like to thank the Plains CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction (PCOR) Partnership Initiative under the UND EERC for the concept and funding for this work.

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST	Γ OF TABLES	i
EXE	ECUTIVE SUMMARY	ii
INT	RODUCTION	1
	NERAL CONSIDERATION FOR REPURPOSING PIPELINES FOR CO <sub>2</sub> ANSPORT	
	Pipeline History Pipeline Integrity New scCO <sub>2</sub> Stream Composition	3
SWI	EET PIPELINES REPURPOSED FOR TRANSPORT OF SCCO <sub>2</sub> CONTAINING H <sub>2</sub> S  Materials of Construction	6 6 7
SOU	UR PIPELINES REPURPOSED FOR TRANSPORT OF SCCO <sub>2</sub> WITHOUT H <sub>2</sub> S	9
GEN	VERAL COMMENTS ON CO2 PIPELINE INTEGRITY	. 10
CON	NCLUSIONS	. 11
REF	ERENCES	. 13
	LIST OF TABLES	
1	Examples of Streams from Various Sources	5
2	X65 Corrosion Rates vs. H <sub>2</sub> S Content	7



### Plains CO, Reduction (PCOR) Partnership

Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC)



# THE ROLE OF HYDROGEN SULFIDE (H<sub>2</sub>S) IN REPURPOSING CARBON STEEL PIPELINES FOR CARBON DIOXIDE (CO<sub>2</sub>) TRANSMISSION

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The need for reliable transmission of carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) streams from various sources for carbon capture and storage (CCS) and carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) projects is growing rapidly. Repurposing existing pipelines is an attractive option in many cases over the construction of a new pipeline. However, the transmission of scCO<sub>2</sub> requires special design considerations rarely included in the original pipeline design. Moreover, internal scales from previous hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) exposure can interact with certain CO<sub>2</sub> streams to create corrosive conditions. This paper explores considerations for two scenarios: a pipeline that had not previously been exposed to H<sub>2</sub>S repurposed for a stream of CO<sub>2</sub> now containing H<sub>2</sub>S and a pipeline that had been previously exposed to H<sub>2</sub>S repurposed for use with a clean CO<sub>2</sub> stream without H<sub>2</sub>S. A more detailed and complete review is provided in the PCOR (Plains CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction) Partnership's "Guideline for Repurposing Existing Carbon Steel Pipelines for CCS and CCUS Projects," Stress Engineering Services, Inc., Document 1256154-MT-RP-02\_Rev0, Final Report: December\_2022, Rowe and Craig.



## Plains CO, Reduction (PCOR) Partnership

Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC)



# THE ROLE OF HYDROGEN SULFIDE (H<sub>2</sub>S) IN REPURPOSING CARBON STEEL PIPELINES FOR CARBON DIOXIDE (CO<sub>2</sub>) TRANSMISSION

#### **INTRODUCTION**

Carbon capture and storage (CCS) and carbon capture, utilization, and storage (CCUS) projects continue to develop as effective initiatives to reduce anthropogenic carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>) emissions. As capture facilities continue to grow and expand, the need for reliable transmission of CO<sub>2</sub> from capture sources to injection wells is expected to increase rapidly. A 2021 Princeton University study estimated that up to 25,000 km of interstate CO<sub>2</sub> trunk pipelines and 85,000 km of spur pipelines would be needed by 2050 to achieve net-zero emission goals (1).

Historically, where possible, repurposing existing underutilized pipelines has been found to be an attractive option over construction of a new pipeline. The oil and gas industry has done this with good success over many decades, converting gas lines to oil lines and vice versa. One of the most common problems for converting pipelines from one service to another is incomplete records. This is a frequent problem in hydrocarbon transport and is expected to be more so for conversion to scCO<sub>2</sub> service where fracture toughness is critical to the design.

The change from one product to another, as will more often than not be the case for repurposed CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines, presents additional challenges. Surface deposits and scales left by the previous process may interact with the new process, and it is of critical importance to evaluate the possible reactions and account for them as part of the conversion process.

This paper discusses considerations for two pipeline conversion scenarios: a pipeline not previously exposed to H<sub>2</sub>S (sweet) being considered for a stream of CO<sub>2</sub> now containing H<sub>2</sub>S and a pipeline previously exposed to H<sub>2</sub>S (sour) being considered for use with a clean CO<sub>2</sub> stream without H<sub>2</sub>S as an impurity.

This work was completed by Stress Engineering Services, Inc., and the Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC) through the Plains CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction (PCOR) Partnership. The PCOR Partnership, funded by the U.S. Department of Energy's (DOE) National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL), the North Dakota Industrial Commission's Oil and Gas Research Program and Lignite Research Program, along with more than 250 public and private partners, is accelerating the deployment of CCUS technology. The PCOR Partnership is focused on a region comprising ten U.S. states and four Canadian provinces in the upper Great Plains and northwestern regions of North America. It is led by the University of North Dakota (UND) Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC), with support from the University of Wyoming and the University of Alaska Fairbanks.

## GENERAL CONSIDERATION FOR REPURPOSING PIPELINES FOR CO<sub>2</sub> TRANSPORT

CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline design is an entire subject in itself. Since the purpose of this paper is to discuss implications related to H<sub>2</sub>S, this section will only briefly touch on certain general considerations that apply for both repurposing a "sweet" pipeline to carry scCO<sub>2</sub> containing H<sub>2</sub>S and repurposing a "sour" pipeline to carry scCO<sub>2</sub> without H<sub>2</sub>S. While there is currently considerable discussion and work on standards and best practices for repurposing hydrocarbon pipelines for transport of scCO<sub>2</sub>, many of these efforts are entirely focused on pressures, temperatures, and hydraulics with relatively little mention of potential corrosion and materials issues. Note: scCO<sub>2</sub> is defined as dense-phase CO<sub>2</sub> with viscosity similar to gas and a density of a liquid.

In general, modern pipelines with good service history, integrity programs, and well-documented properties are the best candidates for conversion. Pipelines that have had a history of integrity problems (cracking, corrosion, seam failures, manufacturing defects) or pipelines that have historically operated above their maximum allowable operating pressure (MAOP) may be at particular risk if repurposed.

The design review, materials review, and integrity review considerations briefly discussed here are each themselves entire complex topics. Specifically, determining minimum fracture toughness to resist a running ductile fracture is the most significant qualifying determinant for pipeline repurposing. Therefore, it is highly advisable that subject matter experts (SMEs) with relevant experience in their specific areas be contacted for this work.

#### **Pipeline History**

Existing pipelines, particularly older lines, may have transported different fluids at different times in the pipeline's life. The historical record of fluids that have been transported should be reviewed, if available, to better understand the current state of the internal surfaces of the pipe that will be exposed to scCO<sub>2</sub>. Information should be gathered regarding not only the original design conditions but the actual operating conditions such as MAOP, temperature, water content, frequency of pigging, etc. Most importantly, it should be determined whether the preexisting pipeline was designed for scCO<sub>2</sub> conditions or not. If the pipeline was originally designed for scCO<sub>2</sub>, then design details such as minimum fracture toughness to resist a running ductile fracture and the modeling to support this should be confirmed for the new operation since differences in the source CO<sub>2</sub> impurity content can significantly affect the phase behavior and thus the necessary minimum fracture toughness.

If the pipeline was not designed for scCO<sub>2</sub> transport, as will be the case with most oil and gas pipelines, it may be difficult to qualify the pipe for this application since acceptable fracture toughness may not be achievable even if crack arrestors are added. This can only be determined by modeling the required fracture toughness to arrest a running ductile fracture using methods such as the Battelle Two Curve Method (BTCM) (2). Current American Petroleum Institute (API) Specification 5L requirements for petroleum pipelines only require minimum Charpy (metallurgical material properties testing) toughness values which are not typically sufficient to meet the much higher values required for running ductile fracture arrest in scCO<sub>2</sub> pipelines. If

crack arrestors (short heavy-wall pipe segments) are considered for installation on existing pipelines, it should be pointed out that currently there are no standards from which crack arrestor designs can be made. It will also be necessary to perform a risk analysis to consider the proximity of populated areas as well as other risks such as road crossings, to determine application.

Any review of the materials of construction should include all available data regarding the pipe and fitting materials and associated welding procedures. This includes any replacements or repairs made over the life of the pipeline. For scCO<sub>2</sub> pipelines, it is common practice to specify a relatively high-strength grade (i.e., X70 and X80 grade) in order to reduce the total cost of steel and allow for high transport pressures, but certain projects may be limited by the properties of pipelines available for repurposing. The grade of pipe and, more importantly, the microstructure will need to be carefully reviewed since the steel microstructure can have a significant effect on the corrosion from CO<sub>2</sub> when water is present.

As noted in the introduction, incomplete records are expected to be a sizable challenge for repurposing pipelines for scCO<sub>2</sub> service. Some operators elect to employ nondestructive pipegrading techniques in order to determine unknown pipe properties without destructive testing. These methods include hardness testing, instrumented indentation, and scratch testing. All three techniques can fairly determine tensile strength, and instrumented indentation and scratch testing are reasonably good at approximating yield strength. However, because only the surface of the pipe material is tested by nondestructive examination (NDE) methods, resulting tensile properties may vary from API Specification 5L tensile tests.

The major shortcoming of nondestructive pipe grading is the inability to reliably determine fracture toughness. While vendors of these NDE services often claim that fracture toughness can be estimated from the test data, this has not gained wide industry acceptance. Destructive testing is still the best method for establishing unknown pipe properties. Because the pipe properties, specifically the fracture toughness, are so critical to scCO<sub>2</sub> pipeline design, destructive testing is worth considering for property verification even in cases where the existing pipe properties are documented.

The compatibility of nonmetallic components, such as seals, gaskets, etc., with scCO<sub>2</sub> streams are outside of the scope of this paper, but it should be noted that seals used for typical hydrocarbon pipelines are not necessarily resistant to scCO<sub>2</sub> and associated impurities and thus may require replacement.

#### **Pipeline Integrity**

Prior to transitioning a pipeline to scCO<sub>2</sub> service, it is advisable to run inline inspection to define the status of the pipeline. Pipelines may have accumulated wall loss and pitting corrosion damage over the course of their lives, which can compromise the performance in new service. Mechanical features such as dents and gouges may exist as well, which could put an scCO<sub>2</sub> pipeline at risk. Inspection is critical in order to identify and characterize such damage and assess whether the pipelines can be salvaged for conversion.

The long length of transmission pipelines, often traversing many miles, typically precludes the use of manual NDE methods. The most efficient and most effective methods of pipeline inspection by far are in-line inspection (ILI) tools, commonly referred to as pigs. A large array of pigs are available to operators, broadly categorized as "smart" pigs and "dumb" pigs. Any ILI typically requires that a cleaning tool (dumb pig) be initially run to clear away debris for the ILI tool (smart pig). Smart pigs may utilize calipers, magnetic flux leakage (MFL), ultrasonics, other advanced inspection technology, or some combination of these. A suitable combination of techniques should be used to adequately capture existing pipeline damage, both internal and external.

To ensure the integrity of external surfaces is maintained, the cathodic protection system for buried pipelines should be surveyed to verify that it is adequately protecting the pipeline. Necessary repairs should be made immediately to prevent or limit damage, as external corrosion can occur whether or not the pipeline is in service. It is also important to perform a geological survey to assess earth movement, particularly in steep terrain.

#### New scCO<sub>2</sub> Stream Composition

The stream composition can be defined largely by water content and stream impurities. The effect of the composition on pipeline performance will depend on temperature and pressure.

In recent years, considerable effort has been made to study the role of water content in scCO<sub>2</sub> streams from a corrosion standpoint. Unlike the typical water limits for natural gas pipelines of about 7 lb/MMscf, or 650 ppm (the standard limit for Kinder Morgan natural gas pipelines as example), the solubility of water in scCO<sub>2</sub> is a strong function of temperature, pressure, and impurities. As shown by deVisser and others, water solubility significantly increases when CO<sub>2</sub> goes through a phase change from gas to liquid with increasing temperature and pressure (3). Typical scCO<sub>2</sub> pipeline specifications for water range from 50 to 650 ppm.

In the absence of free water, when water remains completely soluble in the scCO<sub>2</sub> stream and not at risk of breaking out, the fluid will not be corrosive. As proof of this, enhanced oil recovery (EOR) projects have been in operation for at least 40 years utilizing carbon steel pipelines for transport of scCO<sub>2</sub> with essentially no reported problems. It is only when liquid water is present that carbon steel pipelines will corrode, but the severity of corrosion in scCO<sub>2</sub> streams can be severe. Some research has shown that even water mist is sufficient to cause minor corrosion and pitting in scCO<sub>2</sub> streams (4). Water dropping out of the scCO<sub>2</sub> phase will have no buffering of pH, so the resulting pH of such water will be very low. Numerous studies and modeling analyses have shown that the pH will be on the order of 3 (5). Note that pH less than 7 is acidic, corrosive.

A number of compositions of  $CO_2$  streams are slated for current and future CCS and CCUS projects. Some of the more common  $CO_2$  stream compositions are presented in Table 1, summarized from various sources. Implicit in this table is the concentration of  $CO_2 \ge 95\%$  with no free water present. These examples are not exhaustive and are presented solely for comparison. Some streams may vary substantially from those listed, so only generalizations can be made in this section of the report regarding how detrimental each species may be for a given pipeline.

**Table 1. Examples of Streams from Various Sources** 

Industries	Typical Impurities	
Power Generation – Coal-Fired Plants (IPCC, Carbon Capture and Storage, Working Group III, 2005)	0%–0.5% SO <sub>2</sub> , ~0.01% NO, 0%–0.6% H <sub>2</sub> S, 0%–2.0% H <sub>2</sub> , 0%–0.4% CO, 0.01%–3.7% N <sub>2</sub> /Ar/O <sub>2</sub>	
Power Generation – Gas-Fired Plants (IPCC, Carbon Capture and Storage, Working Group III, 2005)	$0\% - 0.1\% \ SO_2, \sim 0.01\% \ NO, < 0.01\% \ H_2S, \ 0\% - 1.0\% \ H_2, \\ 0\% - 0.04\% \ CO, \ 0.01\% - 4.1\% \ N_2/Ar/O_2$	
Chemical Plants	N <sub>2</sub> , O <sub>2</sub> , and H <sub>2</sub> O	
Other Industries such as Natural Gas Plants (but primarily for EOR)	$0\%$ -1% H <sub>2</sub> S, 2% CH4, 0%-4% N <sub>2</sub> , 0-10 ppm O <sub>2</sub> , $\leq$ 0.1% H <sub>2</sub> O	
Ethanol Plants	0% SO <sub>2</sub> , ~1.5% N <sub>2</sub> , <2% O <sub>2</sub>	
Fertilizer Plants	$0.07\%~H_2,0.44\%~N_2,0.055\%~O_2,0.01\%~Ar,2.4~wt\%$ $H_2O$	

The presence of impurities such as oxygen  $(O_2)$ , hydrogen sulfide  $(H_2S)$ , sulfur dioxide  $(SO_x)$ , and nitrous oxide  $(NO_x)$  can further exacerbate corrosion. Because the presence of even a small amount of impurities can substantially affect pipeline corrosion, it is of utmost importance that a complete analysis of the fluids being transported, including possible upsets, be undertaken in order to anticipate the potential corrosion issues that may arise.

It is the focus of this paper how H<sub>2</sub>S specifically can impact the performance of repurposed CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines, either due to previous exposure or as a contaminant in future CO<sub>2</sub> streams. O<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>x</sub>, and NO<sub>x</sub> are briefly discussed here for completeness, and H<sub>2</sub>S is discussed in further detail below.

SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> impurities in the CO<sub>2</sub> cause the pH of a liquid water phase to decrease. Ayello and others found that adding as little as 100 ppm SO<sub>2</sub> to scCO<sub>2</sub> at 1099 psi and 104°F reduced the pH down to approximately 2.5 (6). Pipeline steels will be at greater risk of accelerated corrosion and possibly environmental cracking in lower pH waters resulting from SO<sub>x</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> impurities, but the effect is complicated by synergistic interactions.

The presence of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> separately can reduce the pH of a free water phase and lead to very high corrosion rates, as shown in the work by Ayello and others (6). However, when they are present together, the corrosivity has been demonstrated by Dugstad and others to be minimal (7). The inclusion of O<sub>2</sub> to this mix can dramatically increase the corrosion rate, as shown by Sun and others (8). The limited work on the role of O<sub>2</sub> is somewhat contradictory. For example, Choi (9) reported an increase in corrosion rate when O<sub>2</sub> was present at 50°C; however, Hua (10) evaluated 20, 50, and 1000 ppm O<sub>2</sub> at 35°C and found the effect was to reduce the general corrosion rate but encourage local attack by pitting. Zhang also found an increasing corrosion rate with O<sub>2</sub> levels up to 400 ppm (11). More work is needed to better characterize the effect of these contaminants in scCO<sub>2</sub> on the corrosion of pipelines steels.

#### SWEET PIPELINES REPURPOSED FOR TRANSPORT OF scCO2 CONTAINING H2S

In addition to the general considerations discussed above, certain issues need to be specifically reviewed and accounted for when repurposing a sweet pipeline, a pipeline that had not previously been exposed to H<sub>2</sub>S repurposed for a stream of scCO<sub>2</sub> containing H<sub>2</sub>S.

#### **Materials of Construction**

One potential issue when H<sub>2</sub>S is present but which has not received adequate attention is the potential for cracking associated with H<sub>2</sub>S. The specific forms that could be operative are sulfide stress cracking (SSC) and hydrogen induced cracking (HIC), both of which are addressed in NACE MR0175/ISO 15156 (12). Note: NACE, National Association of Corrosion Engineers, was established for corrosion control and prevention standards, and ISO, International Organization for Standardization, is an independent nongovernmental affiliated standard setting entity. The conversion of a nonsour pipeline to one that will transport fluids containing H<sub>2</sub>S should at a minimum conform to the requirements of NACE MR0175/ISO 15156. However, this standard is specifically related to the potential for SSC and HIC in upstream petroleum production; it does not address the risk in scCO<sub>2</sub> systems. Craig (13) published a short note raising the issue of whether this standard is applicable to scCO<sub>2</sub> and whether either SSC or HIC could be the same risk as in oil and gas production and transportation.

Little work has been performed to date to examine this question. Paul (14) considered the potential for SSC by testing X65 stressed specimens in an environment of 1435 psi CO<sub>2</sub> and 14.5 psi H<sub>2</sub>S at 104°F (40°C). He found that H<sub>2</sub>S reduced the overall corrosion rate due to the formation of an iron sulfide film (mackinawite), and while no sharp cracks were observed, he noted "fine scale features." These so-called fine-scale features have been identified numerous times in the past as trenches and are a precursor to SSC. Therefore, the risk of SSC in X65 in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>S is a real possibility and should be considered in scCO<sub>2</sub> pipelines when H<sub>2</sub>S is present. It is likely that higher-strength pipe steels such as X70 and X80 will be at even greater risk of SSC in H<sub>2</sub>S-bearing streams.

The potential for HIC has not been evaluated for scCO<sub>2</sub> systems, but since most pipelines that transport scCO<sub>2</sub> are relatively large diameter, they are typically manufactured with welded longitudinal seams. Modern transmission line pipe is often seam-welded by high-frequency electric welding (HFW), but thick wall pipe may use submerged arc welding (SAW), and older pipe may have been made using low-frequency electric resistance welding (LF-ERW) or other obsolete long seam techniques. Welded steel pipe is known to be more susceptible to HIC than seamless pipe; therefore, the materials of construction should be assessed with this risk in mind for scCO<sub>2</sub> pipelines.

#### H<sub>2</sub>S Concentration

If the only components in the fluids being transported are CO<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>S, and water, which may or may not condense to a separate water phase, the potential corrosion problems are reasonably well understood. However, if there are other impurities such as SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>2</sub>, then additional corrosion concerns may also be present. Pipelines repurposed for scCO<sub>2</sub> need to consider not just

the presence or absence of H<sub>2</sub>S, but the concentration as well. As shown in Table 1, the range of H<sub>2</sub>S that may be present in a stream depends on the source. Peletiri compiled H<sub>2</sub>S contents across several streams and showed a wide range of typical H<sub>2</sub>S concentrations from less than 0.002% up to 1.5% (15).

A comparatively large number of studies have been performed considering the effect of H<sub>2</sub>S on corrosion of steels in scCO<sub>2</sub>. However, much like the situation for other impurities, there are contradictory results that show either no increase in corrosion rate with H<sub>2</sub>S present or a significant increase in corrosion rate. Ding and others (16) found almost no effect of H<sub>2</sub>S contents ranging from 5 to 200 ppm on corrosion from scCO<sub>2</sub>; however, these authors did not present a base case corrosion rate in the absence of H<sub>2</sub>S. Sui and others (17) found that for 1000 ppm H<sub>2</sub>S at various temperatures, the corrosion rate depended on the temperature and pressure.

Zhang and others evaluated carbon steel in scCO<sub>2</sub> at 1956 psi and 176°F for 96 hours (9). Table 2 shows the results as a function of H<sub>2</sub>S content. The presence of increasing H<sub>2</sub>S shows significant increases in corrosion rate over the base case with no H<sub>2</sub>S.

Table 2. X65 Corrosion Rates vs. H <sub>2</sub> S Content			
H <sub>2</sub> S Concentration, parts per million, ppm	Corrosion Rate, mils per year, mpy		
0	614.6		
3–5	858.9		
300–400	1375.1		

Choi and others (18) showed that the presence of 200 ppm H<sub>2</sub>S dramatically increased the corrosion rate of carbon steel in CO<sub>2</sub> that was water-saturated. Under dewing conditions, the corrosion rate increased from 6 to 32 mpy when 200 ppm H<sub>2</sub>S was present.

The NACE MR0175/ISO 15156 standard sets a threshold partial pressure (thermodynamic activity) of H<sub>2</sub>S (pH<sub>2</sub>S) for SSC at 0.05 psia; however, this value was determined based on oil and gas systems and is specific to the gas phase. scCO<sub>2</sub> and impurities such as H<sub>2</sub>S are correctly defined by fugacity (fH<sub>2</sub>S), a thermodynamic property of H<sub>2</sub>S, which must be determined using software. Biswas and others present an analysis of the variation of pH<sub>2</sub>S and fH<sub>2</sub>S with increasing pressure of CO<sub>2</sub> starting in the gas phase and continuing into the dense phase (19). This analysis shows a significant drop in pH<sub>2</sub>S and fH<sub>2</sub>S once there is a phase change of the CO<sub>2</sub> from gas to dense phase and suggests that scCO<sub>2</sub> containing certain concentrations of H<sub>2</sub>S would not strictly follow the 0.05 psia limit in NACE MR0175. scCO<sub>2</sub> could, therefore, possibly tolerate higher pH<sub>2</sub>S before SSC is a risk, but much more work on this, including parallel SSC tests, needs to be performed in order to define an fH<sub>2</sub>S limit.

#### **Effect of Existing Internal Surface Products**

It is impossible to address all of the possible surface deposits such as mill scale and corrosion products that might be present along the internal surfaces of existing pipelines. In the case of the

former, mill scale is generally a combination of iron oxides such as FeO, Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub>, and Fe<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>. Since these are not typically removed from the internal surfaces of pipelines during construction and there are not typically any significant issues with their presence in pipeline service, these compounds will not be discussed further in this paper. As regards to corrosion products, this becomes more difficult since the corrosion products that may form are a direct result of steel corrosion in the presence of whatever fluid is being transported. In many cases, these products will be iron carbonate (FeCO<sub>3</sub>) from CO<sub>2</sub>-containing streams and/or iron sulfides (FeS) when H<sub>2</sub>S is present. It is assumed that the preexisting pipelines in this section have not been exposed to H<sub>2</sub>S in the fluid being transported. As such, FeS is not expected to be present, and any preexisting corrosion products should not be an issue for conversion to scCO<sub>2</sub> service.

#### Potential Corrosion Problems after Conversion to scCO2 Containing H2S

In the case of H<sub>2</sub>S alone as the only impurity in the scCO<sub>2</sub> stream, potentially any number of corrosion/cracking mechanisms can be operative depending on the partial pressure and fugacity, pH<sub>2</sub>S (fH<sub>2</sub>S). Even small amounts of H<sub>2</sub>S in the CO<sub>2</sub> stream can produce an FeS corrosion product on the surface of the steel. Li and others (20) found that FeS scale predominated with as little as 50 ppm H<sub>2</sub>S present, but increasing the temperature to 200°C and/or including O<sub>2</sub> greatly increased the corrosion rate. Moreover, contrary to the work presented by Paul (14), they did not find SSC at 100 ppm H<sub>2</sub>S in their tests. However, they did not closely examine the stressed surfaces like Paul did, so trenching cannot be ruled out in their work. Choi (18) observed that for pure CO<sub>2</sub> conditions, the corrosion product was FeCO<sub>3</sub>, but the inclusion of 200 ppm H<sub>2</sub>S caused the corrosion product to be predominantly FeS. More work would be needed to determine whether there is a lower limit of H<sub>2</sub>S that would not form a sulfide. It is worth reiterating that such corrosion should not occur so long as any water remains soluble in the stream.

Numerous variables determine whether this corrosion product will be protective or not such as temperature, fH<sub>2</sub>S, water chemistry, etc., and, therefore, cannot be generalized herein. Suffice it to say that a nonprotective FeS scale can lead to localized pitting which can be difficult to detect. Also, depending on the fH<sub>2</sub>S, the water chemistry, the grade of pipe (i.e., yield strength), and whether it is long seam-welded or not, the risk of SSC and HIC may be present.

If other impurities such as SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>2</sub> may be present in combination with H<sub>2</sub>S, then the risk of pitting, SSC, and HIC could be higher because of pH reduction. Because of the complexity of these various interactions between impurities, no means are currently available to predict whether corrosion will take the form of uniform wall thinning, pitting, or some form of environmental cracking. It would be advisable to perform corrosion tests under simulated pipeline conditions in order to better understand what types of corrosion attack may be anticipated.

#### SOUR PIPELINES REPURPOSED FOR TRANSPORT OF scCO2 WITHOUT H2S

In addition to the general considerations discussed above, certain issues need to be specifically reviewed and accounted for when repurposing a sour pipeline to carry scCO<sub>2</sub> without H<sub>2</sub>S as an impurity in the stream.

#### **Materials of Construction**

It is important to ensure that a candidate preexisting pipeline that has been exposed to H<sub>2</sub>S was correctly designed, constructed, and operated in compliance with NACE MR0175/ISO 15156. This is not so much a requirement for sweet supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>, scCO<sub>2</sub>, as it is a step to mitigate risk that SSC and HIC damage could have been introduced through prior use. Pipelines not designed for H<sub>2</sub>S that were exposed to H<sub>2</sub>S may have permanent damage that would be difficult to detect. No current inspection method can reliably detect insipient SSC or HIC. For this reason, pipelines not designed for H<sub>2</sub>S that were exposed to H<sub>2</sub>S may be risky to convert to scCO<sub>2</sub> service.

In practical sour applications, this would mean the highest strength pipe would generally be Grade X65 or possibly Grade X70. Sour service pipelines are rarely constructed from Grade X80 pipe and higher, although some may exist. Construction and purchase records confirming the specified minimum yield strength, tensile strength, hardness, and chemical composition should be reviewed to ensure compliance with NACE MR0175/ISO 15156. As noted earlier in the general considerations for all scCO<sub>2</sub> pipelines, these records should also include mill test reports (MTRs) documenting the actual Charpy impact toughness of the steel since it will be needed for a running ductile fracture assessment.

#### **Effect of Existing Internal Surface Products**

For an existing sour pipeline, it can be expected that the internal surfaces of the pipe will be covered with iron sulfides of some type, and the actual composition of the resulting surface corrosion product depends on the transported fluid composition: oil, gas, CO<sub>2</sub> concentration, partial pressure of H<sub>2</sub>S, temperature, water chemistry, etc. Unlike the single corrosion product from CO<sub>2</sub>, a whole host of possible iron sulfides may form from H<sub>2</sub>S exposure. For many hydrocarbon pipelines, the corrosion product will be mackinawite, an iron sulfide of the type Fe<sub>1+x</sub>S, commonly written Fe<sub>9</sub>S<sub>8</sub> or simply FeS.

The stability of mackinawite in the Fe–H<sub>2</sub>S–H<sub>2</sub>O system does not significantly change between 25° and 80°C, and it should thus be the dominant iron sulfide formed in H<sub>2</sub>S-containing scCO<sub>2</sub> pipelines as well. However, this has not yet been confirmed by research data. In some cases where the H<sub>2</sub>S concentrations/partial pressures are high, the predominant product will be pyrrhotite (Fe<sub>1-x</sub>S). This latter phase is much more stable than is mackinawite.

Subsequent exposure of FeS scales to various impurities contained in an scCO<sub>2</sub> stream may cause a variety of issues depending on the specific impurities. For one, the FeS scale is soluble when the pH decreases to less than about 5. Therefore, the introduction of scCO<sub>2</sub> with a separate water phase would result in a pH around 3 and likely cause the FeS scale to dissolve. This can create a serious localized problem. When FeS dissolves in low pH fluids, it will produce H<sub>2</sub>S at very low pH, creating a severe sour environment worse than during the original sour fluid exposure. This sudden release of H<sub>2</sub>S at pH 3 has the potential to crack even SSC-resistant steels. This phenomenon has been observed on a regular basis in oil and gas wells when downhole tubulars scaled with FeS are acidized with HCl, resulting in cracking.

Other possible reactions when scCO<sub>2</sub> with various impurities is introduced into an existing sour pipeline with FeS scale are numerous. Of special concern is the introduction of scCO<sub>2</sub> containing O<sub>2</sub>. As Craig has shown (21), mackinawite is especially unstable when exposed to air and will oxidize according to:

$$2\text{Fe}_{9}\text{S}_{8} + 9\text{H}_{2}\text{O} + 27/2 \text{ O}_{2} \rightarrow 18 \text{ } \gamma\text{- FeO(OH)} + 2\text{S}_{8}$$
 [Eq. 1]

The  $\gamma$ -FeO(OH) is unstable and will quickly transform to magnetite by either of the following reactions:

$$3 \gamma$$
-FeO(OH) + e  $\rightarrow$  Fe<sub>3</sub>O<sub>4</sub> + H<sub>2</sub>O + OH<sup>-</sup> [Eq. 2]

$$Fe^{+2} + 8 \gamma - FeO(OH) + 2e \rightarrow 3Fe_3O_4 + 4H_2O$$
 [Eq. 3]

However, the elemental sulfur generated, S<sub>8</sub>, can induce severe pitting and cause the corrosion rate to escalate to very high values. The reaction between O<sub>2</sub> and FeS may not require the presence of liquid water. The oil and gas industry has numerous examples of scale products from sour pipelines and sour wells bursting into flame on exposure to air due to the immediate oxidation of FeS. This issue has not been thoroughly studied in CCS systems, and it is not yet clear what concentration of O<sub>2</sub> in the scCO<sub>2</sub> stream would be needed to cause such a reaction in situ. Therefore, it is recommended that the introduction of scCO<sub>2</sub> into a preexisting sour pipeline not contain any measurable O<sub>2</sub>.

As a result of the many unknowns discussed above regarding how the FeS scale will interact with the introduction of an scCO<sub>2</sub> stream, it is highly recommended that laboratory testing of FeS-scaled pipe be performed using simulated scCO<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O conditions to better define the possible results of this planned conversion. This will require the assistance of an SME knowledgeable in the creation of a testing program that will generate the results needed to make an informed decision about using an existing sour pipeline for scCO<sub>2</sub> service.

Because of the additional risk of converting a sour pipeline imposed by possible FeS dissolution in low pH water, some operators may choose to mitigate this risk through aggressive cleaning of the pipeline internal surface to remove FeS scales. Mechanical removal, such as by heavy-duty brush pigs, may not be fully effective since FeS scales can be tenacious. However, partial removal of FeS may still reduce associated risk. Several chemical cleaning solutions have been proposed to dissolve and remove FeS, but such treatments need to be employed carefully to avoid damaging the pipeline and associated equipment, and the chemicals will need to be completely cleared from the pipeline before returning it to service. Evaluation of these cleaning methods is outside of the scope of this paper, but if FeS removal can be performed effectively, it may help reduce the risk of H<sub>2</sub>S formation when low pH water is present.

#### GENERAL COMMENTS ON CO<sub>2</sub> PIPELINE INTEGRITY

Regardless of the pipeline history, continuous pipeline maintenance should be largely similar to natural gas transmission pipelines with additional emphasis on water control. Following

hydrotesting, it is good practice to thoroughly dewater the pipeline prior to filling with CO<sub>2</sub>. It is generally felt in the industry that small amounts of water remaining in the pipeline will be reabsorbed into the dense-phase CO<sub>2</sub> because of its high solubility; however, care should be taken if large amounts of water remain since mixing gaseous CO<sub>2</sub> and water during initial filling of the pipeline can create a very corrosive environment.

Some operators use a combination of dry air and pigs followed by filling with dry CO<sub>2</sub>, while others use drying and initial filling with nitrogen at a dew point of -29°F (-34°C). Subsequently, the nitrogen is exchanged with dry CO<sub>2</sub> during the filling of the pipeline. Pipelines should be fitted with pig launchers and receivers to allow for debris removal and any standing water. Such facilities will also aid in-line inspection efforts.

The frequency and duration of upsets leading to a separate liquid water phase dropping out can be a corrosion concern if scCO<sub>2</sub> and water remain in the pipeline for a considerable length of time. However, this concern is typically overridden by the larger risk of hydrates that can block and interrupt the immediate startup of a pipeline. This can be a much more significant issue than corrosion, but the risk of corrosion during such downtimes should not be ignored.

The design and operating temperatures and pressures of scCO<sub>2</sub> pipelines are typically set within a narrow range in order to ensure the CO<sub>2</sub> being transported remains in the supercritical state. Typical operating temperatures and pressures range from 55° to 111°F (13°–4°C) and 1232–2174 psi (84.9–149.9 bar) (15). Generally, increasing pressure and temperature increase corrosion rates.

Efforts have been made to develop corrosion inhibitors to deal with free water in contact with scCO<sub>2</sub>. At present, these inhibitors are not effective nor reliable over the life of a scCO<sub>2</sub> pipeline. The most effective methods of corrosion mitigation are sufficient dehydration and prudent operation such that liquid water does not drop out of the supercritical fluid. In both the case of a sweet pipeline being converted to scCO<sub>2</sub> with H<sub>2</sub>S and a sour pipeline being converted to scCO<sub>2</sub> without H<sub>2</sub>S, the absence of a water phase will prevent corrosion.

#### CONCLUSIONS

Repurposing existing pipelines for CO<sub>2</sub> transmission is complicated and requires special engineering considerations, especially when H<sub>2</sub>S is involved, either in the previous process or the new CO<sub>2</sub> service. Guidelines for the scenarios discussed in this paper are summarized below. As can be appreciated from the above discussion, this area is very complicated and warrants the assistance of SMEs in several disciplines to assist in navigating all of the many issues. These guidelines alone are not a substitute for review by an SME.

For all pipelines repurposed for scCO<sub>2</sub> service:

- 1. Review all available data from the subject pipeline, including:
  - a. Original design basis.
  - b. Actual operating conditions (MAOP, temperature, water content, etc.).
  - c. Integrity (pigging frequency, cathodic protection survey, etc.).
  - d. Pipe material properties for each heat of pipe installed, including replacements and repairs.
- 2. If material property records are incomplete, perform destructive testing on representative pipe in order to establish properties for scCO<sub>2</sub> design.
- 3. Perform BTCM analysis to confirm adequate fracture toughness to resist running ductile fractures. If insufficient, then contract an SME to assist with other options such as crack arrestors to limit fracture propagation.
- 4. Run ILI to determine the status of the pipe, including any existing cracks and pits.
- 5. Review inspection results, and conduct risk assessments to determine whether conversion to scCO<sub>2</sub> service is advisable. Consult relevant SMEs, as needed.

For existing <u>sweet</u> pipelines repurposed for transport of scCO<sub>2</sub> <u>containing H<sub>2</sub>S</u>:

- 1. Confirm that the existing pipeline and ancillary components were all specified and constructed in accordance with NACE MR0175/ISO 15156 or would otherwise meet the requirements of this standard in order to prevent SSC and HIC in the new service.
- 2. Run scraper/brush pigs to remove as much of any corrosion product layer as possible.
- 3. Perform laboratory tests with H<sub>2</sub>S and all other impurities to determine if SSC and HIC could be an issue.

For existing sour pipelines repurposed for transport of scCO<sub>2</sub> without H<sub>2</sub>S:

- 1. Confirm that the existing pipeline and ancillary components were all specified and constructed in accordance with NACE MR0175/ISO 15156 or would otherwise meet the requirements of this standard in order to mitigate possible SSC and HIC damage from prior service.
- 2. Scraper/brush pig runs should be specifically engineered to remove as much of the FeS layer as possible. FeS in the pipeline can lead to severe corrosion or cracking if liquid water drops out of the scCO<sub>2</sub> stream.
- 3. Ensure no measurable oxygen is present in the scCO<sub>2</sub> stream, which may oxidize FeS to form elemental sulfur. Testing would be needed to establish acceptable oxygen levels.

4. Perform laboratory tests with prescaled FeS pipe under simulated scCO<sub>2</sub> conditions to ascertain if the interaction will exacerbate corrosion and if SSC and HIC could be an issue.

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Princeton University, 2021, Net-zero America—potential pathways, infrastructure, and impacts: Final report, October 29, 2021, https://netzeroamerica.princeton.edu (accessed 2023).
- 2. Leis, B., and Forte, T., 2007, New approach to assess running fracture in transmission pipelines: U.S. Department of Transportation, PHMSA, Project No. G005189.
- 3. deVisser, E., Hendriks, C., Barrio, M., Molnvik, M.J., de Koeijer, G., Liljemark, S., and Le Gallo, Y., 2008, DYNAMIS CO<sub>2</sub> quality recommendations: International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, v. 2, p. 478.
- 4. Zhang, Y., and Gao, K., 2011, Water effect on steel corrosion under supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> condition: Corrosion 2011, Paper No. 11378, NACE.
- 5. Choi, Y.S., and Nesic, S., 2011, Determining the corrosive potential of CO<sub>2</sub> transport pipeline in high pCO<sub>2</sub>—water environments: International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, v. 5, p. 788.
- 6. Ayello, F., 2010, Effects of impurities on the corrosion of steel in supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>, Corrosion 2010, Paper No. 10193, NACE.
- 7. Dugstad, A., Halseid, M., and Morland, H., 2013, Effect of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> on corrosion and solid formation in dense phase CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines: Energy Procedia, v. 37, p. 2877.
- 8. Sun, C., Sun, J., Wang, Y., Sui, P., Lin, X., Liu, H., Cheng, X., and Zhou, M., 2017, Effect of impurity interaction on the corrosion film characteristics and corrosion morphology evolution of X65 steel in water saturated CO<sub>2</sub> system: International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, v. 65, p. 117.
- 9. Choi, Y.S., Nesic, S., and Young, D., 2010, Effect of impurities on corrosion behavior on CO<sub>2</sub> transmission pipeline steel in supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>—water environments: Environmental Science and Technology, v. 44, p. 9233.
- 10. Hua, Y., Barker, R., and Neville, A., 2015, The effect of O<sub>2</sub> content on the corrosion behavior of X65 and 5Cr in water containing supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> environments: Applied Surface Science, v. 356, p.499.
- 11. Zhang, Y., Meng, Y., Ju, X., Jiang, Z., and Ma, Z., 2019, Steel corrosion under supercritical carbon dioxide with impurities: Materials Performance, Dec.
- 12. NACE MR0175/ISO 15156, 2020, Petroleum and natural gas industries—materials for use in H<sub>2</sub>S-containing environments in oil and gas production, Fourth edition.

- 13. Craig, B., 2014, Should supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> pipelines comply with NACE MR0175/ISO 15156: Materials Performance, v. 53, p. 60.
- 14. Paul, S., 2021, Effect of H<sub>2</sub>S on corrosion and cracking behavior of welded API 5L X65 steel in supercritical CO<sub>2</sub>: Corrosion, Paper No. 16407, AMPP.
- 15. Peletiri, S., Rahmanian, N., and Majtaba, I.M., 2018, CO<sub>2</sub> pipeline design—a review: Energies, v. 11, p. 2184.
- 16. Ding, Y., Cheng, C.-H., and Case, R., 2022, Electrochemical and morphological investigation of corrosion behavior on C1018 in a subcritical and supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> environment with presence of H<sub>2</sub>S: Corrosion, Paper No. 18005, AMPP.
- 17. Sui, P., Sun, J., and Hua, Y., 2018, Effect of temperature and pressure on corrosion behavior of X65 carbon steel in water saturated CO<sub>2</sub> transport environments: International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control, v. 73, p. 60.
- 18. Choi, Y.S., Hassani, S., Vu, T.N., Nesic, S., and Abas, A.Z.B., 2015, Effect of H<sub>2</sub>S on the corrosion behavior of pipeline steels in supercritical and liquid CO<sub>2</sub> environments: Corrosion, Paper No. 5927, NACE.
- 19. Biswas, A., Kane, R.D., Kosacki, I., and Srinivasan, S., 2016, H<sub>2</sub>S solubility in dense phase CO<sub>2</sub> at elevated pressure—impact on materials selection: Corrosion, Paper No. 7792, NACE.
- 20. Li, K., Zeng, Y., and Luo, J.-L., 2021, Influence of H<sub>2</sub>S on general corrosion and sulfide stress cracking of pipeline steels for supercritical CO<sub>2</sub> transportation: Corrosion Science, v. 190, p. 109639.
- 21. Craig, B., 2002, Corrosion product analysis—a road map to corrosion in oil and gas production: Materials Performance, p. 56, Aug.