

Plains CO<sub>2</sub> Reduction (PCOR) Partnership Monthly Update November 1–30, 2017

## PHASE III ACTIVITIES

## Task 1 – Regional Characterization (Wesley D. Peck)

## Highlights

- Continued activities to update the content of the **PCOR Partnership partners-only Decision Support System (DSS) Web site**, including the following:
  - Placed the 2017 PCOR Partnership Annual Membership Meeting and Workshop posters and final agendas on the PCOR Partnership members-only DSS.
- Continued work on regional models, including the following:
  - Compiled characterization data for the Fryburg and Medora Heath–Madison Fields.
  - Continued work on the Lodgepole static model, including loading well data and picking tops.
  - Continued work on a Nebraska regional model.
  - Revised pressure and fluid saturation property distributions in the Gooseneck Field model in preparation for CO<sub>2</sub> storage simulations.
- With regard to **Williston Basin** CO<sub>2</sub> Storage Sink Relative Permeability Laboratory Characterization:
  - Continued modification to the value-added report based on internal review.
- With regard to the **Aquistore** project's static modeling and dynamic predictive simulations effort:
  - Sent a draft abstract for Greenhouse Gas Control Technologies (GHGT)-14 (to be held October 21–26, 2018, in Melbourne, Australia) to Petroleum Technology Research Centre (PTRC) for review.
  - Processed newly received injection data for October 2017.

## Task 2 – Public Outreach and Education (Daniel J. Daly)

## **Highlights**

- Submitted value-added reports entitled "Household Energy and Carbon Web Pages Report" for the periods of April 1 June 30, 2017, and July 1 September 30, 2017, to the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) National Energy Technology Laboratory (NETL) for review on November 16, 2017. Received approval on November 17, 2017.
- Held conference calls with Prairie Public Broadcasting (PPB) on November 3 and 27, 2017, to discuss progress on Task 2 activities and the path forward.
- With regard to **Documentary Deliverable (D) 22** (Coal Powered):

- Continued to prepare edits to finalize the product. Completed preliminary review and revision of images and narration for the first 28 minutes. Addressed reviewer comments and technical issues for narrator content, interview statement content, and cover images.
- Received a request from PCOR Partnership partner Lignite Energy Council (LEC) for a screening of the draft D22 product.
- With regard to **Documentary D21** (The Bell Creek Story CO<sub>2</sub> in Action):
  - Performed an internal review of video clips for use on the PCOR Partnership public Web site and in presentations. Forwarded the clips to Energy & Environmental Research Center (EERC) senior PCOR Partnership managers for internal review.
- Continued writing text and revising layout for the draft updated Phase II Zama fact sheet.
- Participated in the monthly Outreach Working Group (OWG) conference call on November 16, 2017. Topics included discussion and assessment of comments from OWG members regarding the review of a draft summary of OWG activities and accomplishments since 2003, intended as input for a final activity report.
- Continued work on the PCOR Partnership public Web site for D13 (Public Site Updates) due January 2018, including reviewing and revising the content update plan.

## Task 3 – Permitting and NEPA (National Environmental Policy Act) Compliance (Charles D. Gorecki)

### **Highlights**

• Reviewed and edited the Task 3 section of the annual project assessment (D57).

## Task 4 – Site Characterization and Modeling (Charles D. Gorecki)

This task ended in Quarter 1 – Budget Period (BP) 5, Year 10 (March 2017).

## Task 5 – Well Drilling and Completion (John A. Hamling)

This task ended in Quarter 3 – BP4, Year 7 (June 2014).

## **Task 6 – Infrastructure Development (Melanie D. Jensen)**

#### **Highlights**

• Reviewed and edited the Task 6 section of the annual project assessment (D57).

#### Task 7 – CO<sub>2</sub> Procurement (John A. Harju)

This task ended in Quarter 4 – BP4, Year 6 (September 2013).

## Task 8 – Transportation and Injection Operations (Melanie D. Jensen)

This task ended in Quarter 4 – BP4, Year 8 (September 2015).

## Task 9 – Operational Monitoring and Modeling (John A. Hamling and Larry J. Pekot)

### **Highlights**

- Based on mutual agreement with the DOE NETL project manager, the PCOR Partnership
  plans to submit an update to D69 (Best Practices for Modeling and Simulation of CO<sub>2</sub>
  Storage), which was submitted May 31, 2017, that incorporates additional reviewer
  perspectives and findings. Continued work on revisions to lessons learned, recommended best
  practices, case studies, and text.
- Based on mutual agreement with the DOE NETL project manager, the PCOR Partnership plans to submit an update to D51 (Best Practices Manual Monitoring for CO<sub>2</sub> Storage), which was submitted October 31, 2017, that incorporates additional technical expert reviewer perspectives and findings. Continued work on revisions based on feedback.
- Met internally to discuss plan to upload PCOR Partnership data to the NETL's Energy Data eXchange (EDX) in 2018.
- Bell Creek injection-phase site activities included the following:
  - Continued reservoir pressure and distributed temperature monitoring of 05-06 OW (observation well) from the permanent downhole monitoring system using the casing-conveyed pressure–temperature gauges and fiber-optic distributed temperature system:
    - ♦ Near-continuous operation since April 2012.
  - Continued dynamic reservoir pressure and multiphase fluid flow simulation efforts. The modeling and simulation focus remains on Bell Creek Field Phase Areas 1–4.
     Accomplishments and activities include the following:
    - ♦ History matching of the simulation model is complete for Phase Areas 1–3 using the simulation model based on the Version 2 geologic model. Predictive simulation is complete for Phase Areas 1 and 2. Simulations to investigate long-term CO₂ migration are complete for Phase Areas 3–7.
    - ♦ History matching of the simulation model is complete for the waterflooding and CO<sub>2</sub>-flooding stages for Phase Area 4 using the simulation model based on the Version 3 geologic model.
    - ◆ Updated the production data in the Bell Creek Phase Area 4 simulation model to October 2017 and predicted the CO₂ plume distribution in Phase Area 4 to December 2017.
    - ◆ Continued work on matching the simulation model to measured saturation values from pulsed-neutron logs (PNLs).
  - Continued coordination with Denbury Onshore (Denbury) and Schlumberger on the potential acquisition of time-lapse PNL saturation logs in three wells that have seen gas breakthrough. The acquisition is planned to be concurrent with a time-lapse 3-D seismic survey and could aid in building a seismic amplitude change to CO<sub>2</sub> saturation correlation. Used predicted CO<sub>2</sub> saturation thickness (from the simulation results) and calculated bottomhole pressure for various wells in Phase Area 4 to help select wells for the PNL campaign.
  - Continued work on updating the microseismic velocity model and refining parameters for magnitude and focal mechanism estimation.
  - Continued evaluating InSAR (interferometric synthetic aperture radar) data in conjunction with pressure history data of an injection well. Worked on estimating ground surface deformation though geomechanical forward modeling, and began comparison to InSAR data.

- Used the most recent publicly available data to determine that cumulative CO<sub>2</sub> gas injection is 8,236,960 tonnes through August 31, 2017. This value represents the total gas injected, which includes purchase and recycle streams and is NOT corrected for a gas composition of approximately 98% CO<sub>2</sub> (Table 1).
- As of August 31, 2017, the most recent month of record, 4.220 million tonnes of total gas (composition of approximately 98% CO<sub>2</sub>) has been purchased for injection into the Bell Creek Field, equating to an estimated 4.158 million tonnes of CO<sub>2</sub> stored (Table 2), with the difference comprising other trace gases in the purchase gas stream. A separate method from that used to calculate estimated total gas injected was used to calculate a cumulative associated CO<sub>2</sub> storage by correcting the gas purchase volume (approximately 98% CO<sub>2</sub>) obtained from Denbury's custody transfer meter with gas compositional data.

Table 1. Bell Creek  $CO_2$  Gas Injection Totals for August 2017 (cumulative totals May 2013 to August 2017)<sup>1</sup>

,	August 2017 Injection					
Total, Mscf	5,178,776					
Total, tons <sup>2</sup>	296,218					
Total, tonnes <sup>3</sup>	268,985					
Cumulative Total, Mscf <sup>4</sup>	158,586,186					
Cumulative Total, tons <sup>2,4</sup>	9,070,879					
Cumulative Total, tonnes <sup>3,4</sup>	8,236,960					

Source: Montana Board of Oil and Gas database.

Table 2. Cumulative Total Gas Purchased and Estimated Associated CO<sub>2</sub> Storage for the Bell Creek Field<sup>1</sup>

	August 2017 Gas Totals
Monthly Total Gas Purchased, MMscf <sup>2</sup>	2053
Monthly Total Gas Purchased, million tons <sup>2</sup>	0.117
Monthly Total Gas Purchased, million tonnes <sup>2</sup>	0.107
Cumulative Total Gas Purchased, MMscf <sup>2,3</sup>	81,243
Cumulative Total Gas Purchased, million tons <sup>2,3</sup>	4.647
Cumulative Total Gas Purchased, million tonnes <sup>2,3</sup>	4.220
Cumulative Total CO <sub>2</sub> Stored, MMscf <sup>3,4</sup>	80,056
Cumulative Total CO <sub>2</sub> Stored, million tons <sup>3,4</sup>	4.579
Cumulative Total CO <sub>2</sub> Stored, million tonnes <sup>3,4</sup>	4.158

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Conversion factors of 17.483 Mscf/ton and 19.253 Mscf/tonne were used to calculate equivalent purchase and storage quantities.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Total gas injection quantities are *NOT CORRECTED* for gas composition and include the combined purchased and recycled gas streams.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Calculated utilizing a conversion of 17.483 Mscf/ton.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Calculated utilizing a conversion of 19.253 Mscf/tonne.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Cumulative totals are for the period from May 2013 to the month listed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Total gas purchased *NOT CORRECTED* for gas composition.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Cumulative totals are for the period from May 2013 to the month listed.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Total CO<sub>2</sub> stored *CORRECTED* for gas composition.

- Completed collection of the eighth round of oil samples from a select group of wells in the Bell Creek Field on November 8, 2017. Working with Denbury on sample receipt to the EERC
- A summary of all oil and CO<sub>2</sub> gas stream samples collected for analyses to date is provided in Table 3.

Table 3. Oil and CO<sub>2</sub> Gas Stream Sampling and Analyses

Table 5. On and CO <sub>2</sub> Gas Stream Sampling and Analyses											
								Phase 4			
Recycle <sup>1</sup>	56-14R	32-02	05-06	04-04	28-02	21-10	21-14	34-09	34-07	34-03	
	O	O	O								
	O	O									
P	O	O	O								
PR	O	O	O								
PR	O	O	O								
PR	OG	OG	O								
PR	O	O									
	OG	OG	G								
		O	OG								
	G	G	G								
PR											
	O	O	O								
PR	G	G	G								
PR											
	O		O								
PR											
	O	O	O	O	О	O	O				
PR	O		O	O	О	O	O				
	O	O		O	О	O	O	O			
			O								
PR	O	O	O	O	О	O	O	O	O	O	
	O	O		O	О	O	O	O	O	O	
PR	O	O	O	O	О	O	O	O	O	O	
	O			O	О	O	O	O	O	O	
			O			O	O	O	O	O	
	O	Ο		O	О						
	Purchase/Recycle <sup>1</sup> P PR	Purchase/Recycle¹         56-14R           O         O           P         O           PR         O           O         O	Purchase/ Recycle¹         From Phase Phase           Recycle¹         56-14R         32-02           O         O         O           P         O         O           PR         O         O	Purchase/ Recycle¹         Fried to the state of t	Purchase/Recycle¹         56-14R         32-02         05-06         04-04           P         O	Purchase/         Production Stream by Department of the production stream by Department of the production of the prod	Purchase/Recycle¹         56-14R         32-02         05-06         04-04         28-02         21-10           Pecycle¹         56-14R         32-02         05-06         04-04         28-02         21-10           P         O	Purchase/         Propriet of Plase   Plase	Production Stream by Development Phase; Weekeycle¹           Phase 1         Phase 3           Recycle¹         56-14R         32-02         05-06         04-04         28-02         21-10         21-14         34-09           PR         0 <th col<="" td=""><td>Purchase/         Production Stream by Development Place, Well¹           Pecycle¹         56-14R         32-02         05-06         04-04         28-02         21-10         21-14         34-09         34-07           P         O&lt;</td></th>	<td>Purchase/         Production Stream by Development Place, Well¹           Pecycle¹         56-14R         32-02         05-06         04-04         28-02         21-10         21-14         34-09         34-07           P         O&lt;</td>	Purchase/         Production Stream by Development Place, Well¹           Pecycle¹         56-14R         32-02         05-06         04-04         28-02         21-10         21-14         34-09         34-07           P         O<

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> P = purchase CO<sub>2</sub> gas stream, R = recycle CO<sub>2</sub> gas stream, O = produced oil stream, and G = produced CO<sub>2</sub> gas stream.

## Task 10 – Site Closure (John A. Hamling)

## **Highlights**

• Began writing D54 (Site Closure Procedures).

## Task 11 – Postinjection Monitoring and Modeling (John A. Hamling and Larry J. Pekot)

## **Highlights**

• Completed draft abstract and outline for D73 (Monitoring and Modeling Fate of Stored CO<sub>2</sub>).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Oil samples collected but not yet analyzed.

### Task 12 – Project Assessment (Loreal V. Heebink)

### **Highlights**

- Continued updating sections in the BP5 Program Year 10 project assessment annual report based on quarterly reports, deliverables, and milestones.
- Initiated internal review process for sections of the report.

### Task 13 – Project Management (Charles D. Gorecki)

### Highlights

- Statoil has joined the PCOR Partnership.
- Attended the American Institute of Chemical Engineers (AIChE) Annual Meeting held October 29 – November 3, 2017, in Minneapolis, Minnesota. Presented "Geologic Storage of Carbon Dioxide in the Central Plains of North America."
- Completed preparation of draft responses to recommendations resulting from the IEA Greenhouse Gas R&D Programme (IEAGHG) peer review of the Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership Initiative Development Phase (Phase III) performed January 23–27, 2017. Submitted to the DOE NETL project manager for review.
- Continued work on a planned special issue of *International Journal of Greenhouse Gas Control*. Team members continued writing text for potential papers related to all active tasks.
- Continued to develop a list of potential abstracts for submission to GHGT-14 to be held October 21–26, 2018, in Melbourne, Australia.
- Responded to several partner requests for PCOR Partnership Annual Membership Meeting and Workshop presentations and the participant list.
- Began compiling a list of potential conflicts/dates in preparation of planning for the 2018 PCOR Partnership Annual Membership Meeting and Workshop.
- Worked on finding date and location options for the 2018 Technical Advisory Board (TAB) meeting. TAB members were contacted for their availability.
- Held a task leader meeting November 29, 2017. Topics discussed included deliverables, planned journal articles and conference papers, recent and upcoming meeting attendance, and task updates.
- Completed reporting in November:
  - October monthly update.

## Task 14 – RCSP Water Working Group (WWG) Coordination (Ryan J. Klapperich)

#### Highlights

- Attended the Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation (APEC) Water-Energy Nexus Expert workshop held October 31 November 1, 2017, in Atlanta, Georgia. The workshop was created to foster communication among APEC member nations on water-energy issues. Most attendees had background/expertise in the power generation industry. Topics discussed included water availability, alternate water sourcing, diverse regulatory regimes, and the presence or absence of national energy policy. Potential paths forward discussed included the development of an APEC-sponsored water-energy nexus working group, development of international training centers, or development of decision support tools.
- Continued development of the draft D107 (Journal Article or Topical Report Major Research Focuses for Water and CCS [Carbon Capture and Storage]).

• Held the quarterly conference call for November 9, 2017. An update on the status of the final report/paper (D107) was provided, and other business was discussed.

# Task 15 – Further Characterization of the Zama Acid Gas EOR, CO<sub>2</sub> Storage, and Monitoring Project (Charles D. Gorecki)

This task ended in Quarter 2 – BP4, Year 7 (February 2014).

## Task 16 – Characterization of the Basal Cambrian System (Wesley D. Peck)

This task ended in Quarter 2 – BP4, Year 7 (March 2014).

## **Travel/Meetings**

- October 30 November 1, 2017: traveled to Atlanta, Georgia, to attend the APEC Water–Energy Nexus Expert Workshop.
- October 31 November 3, 2017: traveled to Washington, D.C., to attend the Midwest Regional Carbon Sequestration Partnership Meeting and events.
- November 6–7, 2017: extended trip in Washington, D.C., to attend the Carbon Sequestration Leadership Forum (CSLF) Financing Task Force meeting.
- November 2–4, 2017: traveled to Minneapolis, Minnesota, to attend the AIChE 2017 annual meeting.
- November 30 December 7, 2017: traveled to Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, to present at and attend the CSLF 7th Ministerial Meeting.

## **EERC DISCLAIMER**

LEGAL NOTICE: This research report was prepared by the EERC, an agency of the University of North Dakota, as an account of work sponsored by DOE NETL. Because of the research nature of the work performed, neither the EERC nor any of its employees makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement or recommendation by the EERC.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENT**

This material is based upon work supported by DOE NETL under Award No. DE-FC26-05NT42592.

#### DOE DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared as an account of work sponsored by an agency of the United States Government. Neither the United States Government, nor any agency thereof, nor any of their employees, makes any warranty, express or implied, or assumes any legal liability or responsibility for the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of any information, apparatus, product, or process disclosed, or represents that its use would not infringe privately owned rights. Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by the United States Government or any agency thereof. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government or any agency thereof.

#### NDIC DISCLAIMER

This report was prepared by the EERC pursuant to an agreement partially funded by the Industrial Commission (NDIC) of North Dakota, and neither the EERC nor any of its subcontractors nor NDIC nor any person acting on behalf of either:

- (A) Makes any warranty or representation, express or implied, with respect to the accuracy, completeness, or usefulness of the information contained in this report or that the use of any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report may not infringe privately owned rights; or
- (B) Assumes any liabilities with respect to the use of, or for damages resulting from the use of, any information, apparatus, method, or process disclosed in this report.

Reference herein to any specific commercial product, process, or service by trade name, trademark, manufacturer, or otherwise does not necessarily constitute or imply its endorsement, recommendation, or favoring by NDIC. The views and opinions of authors expressed herein do not necessarily state or reflect those of the NDIC.